

193 U. S.

Opinions Per Curiam, Etc.

OPINIONS PER CURIAM, ETC., FROM FEBRUARY 23,
1904, TO APRIL 4, 1904.

No. —. Original. *Ex parte*. IN THE MATTER OF ARTHUR P. SCHOFIELD, PETITIONER. February 29, 1904. Motion for leave to file petition for a writ of habeas corpus denied. *Mr. John Burke* and *Mr. Guy C. H. Corliss* for petitioner in support of motion. *Mr. Solicitor General Hoyt* opposing.

No. 485. INTERNATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, *v.* JOHN W. WEEKS, AGENT, ETC. In error to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the First Circuit. Motion to dismiss submitted February 29, 1904. Decided March 7, 1904. *Per Curiam*. Dismissed for the want of jurisdiction. *McLish v. Roff*, 141 U. S. 661; *Kirwan v. Murphy*, 170 U. S. 205, 209; *Kingman v. Western Manufacturing Company*, 170 U. S. 675; *Haseltine v. Central Bank of Springfield*, 183 U. S. 130. *Mr. Eugene P. Carver*, *Mr. Edward E. Blodgett* and *Mr. G. Philip Wardner* in support of motion. *Mr. Robert M. Morse* and *Mr. William M. Richardson* opposing.

No. 512. OWENSBORO WATER WORKS COMPANY OF OWENSBORO, KY., APPELLANT, *v.* CITY OF OWENSBORO, KY. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Kentucky. Submitted February 29, 1904. Decided March 7, 1904. *Per Curiam*. Decree affirmed with costs, on the authority of *City of Joplin v. Southwest Missouri Light Company*, 191 U. S. 150. *Mr. William T. Ellis* and *Mr. J. D. Atchison* for appellant. *Mr. Reuben A. Miller*, *Mr. Geo. W. Jolly*, *Mr. Charles S. Walker* and *Mr. Robert S. Todd* for appellee.

No. 191. SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, *v.* MARY L. BEACH, ADMINISTRATRIX, ETC. In error

to the Supreme Court of the State of North Carolina. Submitted March 18, 1904. Decided March 21, 1904. *Per Curiam*. Judgment reversed with costs, on the authority of *Southern Railway Company v. Allison*, 190 U. S. 326, and cause remanded for further proceedings. *Mr. F. H. Busbee, Mr. W. A. Henderson and Mr. Charles Price* for plaintiff in error. No appearance for defendant in error.

No. 192. NEW YORK, NEW HAVEN AND HARTFORD RAILROAD COMPANY, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, *v.* TOWN OF PLYMOUTH ET AL. In error to the Supreme Court of Errors of the State of Connecticut. Submitted March 16, 1904. Decided March 21, 1904. *Per Curiam*. Dismissed for the want of jurisdiction, on authority of *Sayward v. Denny*, 158 U. S. 180; *Layton v. Missouri*, 187 U. S. 356; *Hooker v. Los Angeles*, 188 U. S. 314; *Ansbro v. United States*, 159 U. S. 695; *New York and New England Railroad Company v. Bristol*, 151 U. S. 556. *Mr. William F. Henney* for plaintiff in error. *Mr. Charles E. Perkins and Mr. Samuel A. Herman* for defendants in error.

No. 193. M. J. COVENTRY ET AL., PLAINTIFFS IN ERROR, *v.* J. W. DAVIS ET AL. In error to the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas. Submitted March 18, 1904. Decided March 21, 1904. *Per Curiam*. Dismissed for the want of jurisdiction, on authority of *New Orleans Waterworks Company v. Louisiana*, 185 U. S. 336; *Sayward v. Denny*, 158 U. S. 180. Case reported in state court, 65 Kansas, 557. *Mr. Eugene F. Ware* for the plaintiffs in error. *Mr. J. D. McCleverty and Mr. W. P. Dillard* for defendants in error.

*Decisions on Petitions for Writs of Certiorari from
February 23, 1904, to April 4, 1904.*

No. 570. PAUL E. BERGER ET AL., PETITIONERS, *v.* GEORGE A. FULLER. February 23, 1904. Petition for a writ of cer-